

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,282	06/20/2003	Harold Keith Crain	120697	6344	
7590 10/17/2006			EXAMINER		
John S. Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP			AFZALI, SARANG		
Suite 2600	sdale LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metropolitan Sq.			3726		
St. Louis, MO	63102		DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ĭ.
10/600,282	CRAIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sarang Afzali	3726	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Examiner

Sarang Afzali

3726

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) ☐ The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

	pla a	aces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following
	_	ne periods:
		The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	$\boxtimes$	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
		Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have under set for may	bee r 37 orth redu	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). <u>FOF APPEAL</u>
	fili a	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of ing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  OMENTS
	] T (a	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because       They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c	) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d	They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4.	1 -	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5.	_	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6.	] N	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the on-allowable claim(s).
7. 🗵	Fo ho Th	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \subsection \) will not be entered, or b) \( \subsection \) will be entered and an explanation of bow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	CI	laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: 11-20.
		laim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-10</u> .
<u>AFF</u>	DA	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. [	be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. [	er	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
		The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
		The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
_		Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
1 J. L	`	Nova front

DAVID P. BRYANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

RVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 11 in particular the limitations of "blade engagement end comprising an engagement top surface" and the "guide end comprising a body including a guide end top surface positioned above the engagement top surface" changes the scope of the claims and therefore, raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.